

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 178 of 2014**

**Date of Decision : 22.01.2014**

Balveer Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Ashok Bath

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER**

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate  
for the petitioner

**MAHESH GROVER, J.**

It is not disputed that the petitioner is facing the consequences of a decree where an alternate relief in monetary terms was granted to him in a suit for specific performance. Possession is sought to be retrieved from him. The petitioner has a civil remedy to protect his possession. In any eventuality, he has submitted a representation to the police authorities where this Court had on an earlier occasion given a direction to the authorities to look into his grievance.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that it is not a fit case where the proceedings under Contempt of Courts Act should be initiated on the asking of the petitioner, considering the litigation between the parties which is essentially civil in nature and where rights of the parties stand crystalized. The contempt petition is disposed of with an expectation that the law would not be permitted to be violated.

January 22, 2014

reena

**(Mahesh Grover)  
Judge**