

2025:PHHC:041253



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

315

CRM-M-50255-2024

Date of decision: March 26, 2025

MANAN MALHOTRA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate
with Ms. Tarranum Madan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Suresh Nain, Advocate
for Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.0070 dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 66E of Information and Technology Act, 2000 (Section 67A of IT Act, 2000 added subsequently) registered at Police Station Sector-7, District Panchkula and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/memorandum of settlement dated 17.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 28.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 27.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.



Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Panchkula, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 26, 2025

Jaspreet Kaur

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*