



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

120

CRWP-10855-2025
Date of decision: 06.10.2025

MUSKAN AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Surjit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 11.
2. Notice of motion to respondents Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana. accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 02.10.2025 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 11, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.
5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner



No.1-Muskan is 26.01.2005 and petitioner No.2-Sumit Kumar is 31.12.1997.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent of Police, Karnal District Karnal) to look into the representation dated 02.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

8. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

9. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

06.10.2025

jatn

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No