



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4999-2025

Date of decision: 27.02.2025

M/s Kurukshetra WinesPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (M/s Kurukshetra Wines) has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official Respondents No.1 and 2 to close the illegal vend being operated by Respondent No.5 at the behest and in connivance by Respondents no.3 and 4 as the same is against the excise Policy 2024-25.”

Served with the advance copy of the petition, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, had caused appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, on 21.02.2025. And as prayed for, was granted time to seek instructions, as also to submit response. Today, however, at the outset, she, on instructions from Mr. Rohit Kumar, (AETOX), office of Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, (Excise), Kaithal, who is present in Court, submits that concerns/grievances of the petitioner have already been redressed. For respondent No.5 itself had moved the respondent authorities to change the location of vend assigned to it, owing to certain passage issues. Accordingly, pursuant to a conscious decision taken by the authorities, the location of the vend assigned to



respondent No.5, has since been shifted. Be that as it may, she submits, for representations (P-7 and P-8), the respondent authorities have been served with by the petitioner, are pending, it would rather be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on the representations (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, before any such orders are passed, petitioner, as also the other stakeholders shall be heard, for which a formal communication shall also be issued. In this regard, authorized representative(s) of the petitioner may appear before the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner (Excise), Kaithal on 03.03.2025 at 12:00 AM. And, the necessary orders, as indicated above, shall be passed within a period of one week thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by her.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)

JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

27.02.2025

Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No