



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 4024 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.07.2025**

Kulwant Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate
for respondent-NHAI.

Mr. Siddharath Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No. 3.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks issuance of direction to respondent No. 3 (Competent Authority-cum-Land Acquisition Collector-cum-SDM, Gurdaspur) to release the amount of compensation in terms of Award 20.04.2012 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Arbitrator, which was upheld by District Judge, Jalandhar vide judgment dated 31.10.2013 (Annexure P-2) and thereafter modified vide order dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-3) by this Court in appeal bearing FAO No. 1652 of 2014 preferred by the respondent-NHAI.

[2] Co-ordinate Bench, while issuing notice of motion on 14.02.2020, passed the following order:-

“ Counsel inter alia contends that the amount was enhanced by the Arbitrator from Rs.33,00,000/- to Rs.63,15,000/-. The same was challenged before the District

Judge Jalandhar unsuccessfully. The order was, however, modified by this Court vide Annexure P-3 to the extent that the benefits under Section 23(1A) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were set aside. The enhanced amount has, however, not been paid in spite of representation dated 04.09.2019 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 3. Adequate number of copies of the writ petition be handed over to her during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 02.03.2020, for service of respondents no. 1 & 2. ”

[3] After hearing learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the records / paper-book from where it can be discerned that there is no dispute with respect to the entitlement of the petitioner *qua* 11 Kanal 8 Marlas of land and thus, the Executing Court is requested to release the amount of compensation alongwith other statutory benefits besides up-to-date interest with respect to the said land in favour of petitioner at the earliest.

[4] With respect to the remaining 16 Marlas of land, it can be traced out that respondent No. 2-NHAI has preferred objections against it before the Executing Court, which are pending consideration since 29.10.2024. As such, the Executing Court is requested to decide / dispose off those objections within three months from the next date of hearing fixed before it.

[5] **Disposed off** accordingly.

[6] Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove may not be treated as precedent in other cases.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 08, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>