



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

208

RSA-4923-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.10.2025

Bhagwan Singh and others

.... Appellants

Versus

Nidhan Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Tarun Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellant-defendant Nos. 1 to 3 are in second appeal against the concurrent findings rendered by both the Courts below whereby the suit for possession filed by plaintiff/respondent No. 1 was decreed by both the Courts below.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellants submits that a compromise dated 29.10.2021, has been effected between the parties in terms of which the present second appeal is liable to be disposed of. It is submitted that the said compromise was effected between the parties on which basis of statement made by respondent No. 1/plaintiff-Nidhan Singh.

3. Heard.

4. Perusal of the order-sheet(s) reveals that on the last date of hearing 15.07.2025, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, in view of the '**Special Mediation Drive-Mediation for Nation**', initiated under the aegis of the Hon'ble



Supreme Court. In pursuance thereof, report dated 29.08.2025 has been received from the Superintendent, Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court informing therein that the parties have settled their dispute by way of an amicable settlement/agreement. It is also recorded by the Mediator in her report dated 29.08.2025, that the parties have recorded their joint and separate statements qua the veracity of compromise. The compromise dated 29.10.2021 which was in Punjabi has been translated by the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court; and para No. (5) thereof, reads as under:-

“5) Now our families have reached an agreement, the mutual enmity is over, we are living with each other’s families like before, now I do not want to fight this case, I am completely satisfied, I will welcome the decision of this case in favour of the opposing party, if the appeal filed by the opposing party is accepted, then I will have no objection to it. The following are the conditions of the decision made among the important people of the village.

- 1) Nidhan Singh son of Banta Singh is building a house in his plot and Gurjit Singh son of Bhagwan Singh has a plot next to it. It has been agreed that the foundation should be laid on the common land. Gurjit Singh will not raise any objection.*
- 2) If Nidhan Singh again asks for 1/3rd share from Abadi Khasra No. 230/0-7 in the future, then he will also be bound to give 2/3rd share from his sold house.*
- 3) Both the parties will be bound to give a copy of the compromise to their respective lawyers in Chandigarh. This decision has been taken with the consent of all and in the presence of the village panchayat and important persons today, October 29, 2021.”*



5. In view of the facts noted above, the present regular second appeal stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

6. Needless, to say that both the parties shall abide by the terms and conditions of compromise dated 29.10.2021.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

09.10.2025
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No