



CRM-M-33068-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

240

CRM-M-33068-2025

Date of decision : 07.07.2025

Gursewak Singh @ Jangla

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No.16 dated 12.03.2025, under Sections 109, 115(2), 118(1), 191(3) & 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with co-accused had attacked the complainant and given injuries to him. It is also alleged that Honey Sekha, who was armed with kirpan, had given blow on the right side of the head of the complainant while Tirath Singh @ Kali, who was armed with 'khanda', had given a blow on his head with an intention to kill him. Nothing has been recovered from the petitioner nor any overt act has been attributed to him. The petitioner is in custody for 02 months and 15 days and is not involved in any other criminal case. He also submits and the trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, the petitioner be released on regular bail.

**CRM-M-33068-2025****-2-**

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 02 months and 15 days.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for 02 months and 15 days and is not involved in any other criminal case and the trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

07.07.2025

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No