



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

251

**CRM-M-10666-2025  
Date of decision: 29.07.2025**

**BALJIT SINGH @ MEETA**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

.. Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

**Present:-** Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate with  
Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., 1973 (Section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023) seeking regular bail in FIR No.48 dated 13.05.2023 under Sections 15 and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

2. The case of the prosecution is that 105 kgs of poppy husk was recovered from the possession of one Sony Singh and his co-accused Husanpreet Singh. The petitioner was named in the disclosure statement made by the co-accused in custody. However, the petitioner is involved in 03 more cases which are pending. Apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to implicate the petitioner in the said recovery.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has undergone custody period of more than 07 months and 20 days in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of



the respondent-State.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 28.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner has undergone custody period of 07 months and 20 days.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the custody period undergone by the petitioner is 07 months and 20 days, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice as conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time. Therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

10. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

**29<sup>th</sup> July, 2025**

*Sonia Puri*

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No