



LPA-506-2020 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

102-1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM-1542-LPA-2025 in/and
LPA-506-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.03.2025**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Appellants

Vs.

SUKHCHANNI

. . . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Yesh Paul Malik, Advocate with
Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the appellants.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Matter comes up on an application filed by the respondent stating that the identical case bearing No.LPA-503-2020 titled as '*State of Haryana and others vs. Madan*', assailing the same order, has been decided on 28.01.2025.
2. The main case is taken on board today itself.
3. Counsel for the State does not dispute the said facts.
4. In view thereto, considering the fact that the respondent was also regularized with effect from 01.10.2003 as the concerned respondent/Madan, whose case has been decided in the above said LPA on 28.01.2025, we dispose of this LPA in the same terms as under:

"6. We find that the order of regularization was passed in favour of the writ petitioner on 30.09.2014 w.e.f.



LPA-506-2020 (O&M)

Page 2 of 2

01.10.2003 and the said order has neither been recalled nor quashed in any proceedings. In view thereto, the consequential effect of the order and the benefit emanating from the said order, would have to be given to the concerned persons, who have been regularized.

7. Accordingly, the order passed by the learned Single Judge directing for releasing the benefits in terms of the order passed by the State itself dated 30.09.2014, granting pay scale w.e.f. 01.10.2003, cannot be said to be in any manner to be unjustified or unreasonable. The LPA is found to be wholly misconceived and is accordingly dismissed with directions to the appellants to comply with the order passed by the learned Single Judge, positively within a period of one month henceforth.”

5. LPA stands disposed of accordingly in the same terms. Application No. CM-1542-LPA-2025 stands allowed.
6. All pending applications also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

07.03.2025

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |