



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23108-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 11.08.2025**

**Nisha**

**... Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: Mr. S.S. Shekhawat, Advocate and  
Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.2 to declare and upload on the website of Haryana Staff Selection Commission, the waiting list of Category No.103 on the basis of scores obtained in order of merit, for the post of Marketing Officer reserved for Scheduled Caste Category in HAFED, which falls in Group No.7 of the Advertisement No.9/2024 published on 02.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission and further to direct respondent No.2 to recommend her name for appointment to the aforesaid post, which is still lying vacant on account of non-joining by one already recommended



candidate.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner applied for the post of Marketing Officer (Category No.103 of Group No.7) advertised by respondent No.2 vide advertisement No.9/2024 (Annexure P-3). She appeared and qualified the main examination held by respondent No.2. Further, as per announcement dated 21.09.2024 (Annexure P-7), only three times of candidates were to be shortlisted for the post(s) advertised, however, under Scheduled Caste Category, four times candidates were shortlisted, which is in violation of the announcement dated 29.09.2024 (Annexure P-8). Thereafter, respondent No.2 declared the result on 17.10.2024 and a public notice was issued to raise the grievance, if any, through grievance portal, but no date was mentioned for the said purpose. On 19.10.2024, when the petitioner tried to upload her grievance on the portal, the link was unavailable. Further, 19.10.2024 and 20.10.2024 being Saturday and Sunday respectively, the petitioner, on 21.10.2024, made a telephonic call to the officials of respondent No.2 and they informed that the grievance portal was available till 18.10.2024 and disconnected the phone. After that, the petitioner approached the office of respondent No.2 on 21.10.2024 to submit hard copy of the grievance, however, the same was denied. Feeling aggrieved, she submitted representations on 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-12), 18.07.2025 (Annexure P-13) and 22.07.2025 (Annexure P-14), however, till date, no action has been thereon. At this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if the representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-12) submitted by her is decided by respondent



No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. AG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 while Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.2. They have no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner, by passing a speaking order.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-12) and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity of hearing, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Further, the decision taken on the representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-12) shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to her forthwith by respondent No.2.

**[ HARPREET SINGH BRAR ]**  
**JUDGE**

11.08.2025  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No