



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

**CR-1485-2025 (O&M)  
Decided on: 29.04.2025**

Hamaragharr and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

Suraj Prakash Taneja and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

PRESENT: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the impugned order dated 12.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) whereby the petitioners were proceeded against *ex parte* whilst the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was pending adjudication and further for setting aside the order dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-10) whereby the application filed by the petitioners for setting aside the order dated 12.04.2024 has been dismissed.

2. On 11.03.2025, a request for adjournment was made on the ground that talks of compromise were going on. Similarly, on 26.03.2025, learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the present petition be disposed of at this stage but liberty may be granted to the petitioners to revive the petition after the petitioners are able to give complete instructions.

4. In view of the same, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**29.04.2025***Mehak*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*