



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104-2 cases)

RSA No. 4216 of 2000(O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Jit Singh and others

...Appellants

Versus

Des Raj and another

...Respondents

AND

COCP No. 1752 of 2008 (O&M)

Jeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Bant Kaur and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Aupam Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants (in RSA No. 4216 of 2000)
and for petitioner (in COCP No. 1752 of 2008).

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants (in RSA No. 4216 of 2000 and for the petitioner in COCP No. 1752 of 2008) submits that he has specific instructions from the legal representatives of the appellants not to pursue the present appeal and contempt petition. He submits that in view of the same, he may be permitted to withdraw the same.

2. Learned Senior counsel for respondent no.1, on the other hand submits that even otherwise the matter has been rendered infructuous in view of the judgment of the High Court in **CWP No. 11557 of 1990, titled**

**as Des Raj Vs. The Additional Director, Consolidation of Holdings,
Punjab and others, decided on 29.10.2013.**

3. Learned counsel for the appellants (in RSA No. 4216 of 2000 and for the petitioner in COCP No. 1752 of 2008) also does not dispute the same.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel, the present appeal and contempt petition are dismissed as having been withdrawn.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

March 17, 2025

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No