



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-9273-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2025

Komal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikas Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to grant the benefit of leave encashment to the petitioner for 450 days in lieu of unutilised earned leave lying in his credit on the date of his superannation rather than payment of 300 earned leaves.

2. Learned counsel submits that regarding the claim as raised in the present petition, a legal notice dated 06.09.2024, Annexure P-4, has been served upon respondents, however, the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, on instructions prays that a direction may be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner, to which learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

3. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 06.09.2024, within a period of 6 months, which this

Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

01.04.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No