



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-39190-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2025

Rinku Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.313 dated 04.07.2025 registered under Sections 61(4) of the Haryana Amended Act, 2020 (The Punjab Excise Act, 1914) at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 24.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.313 dated 04.07.2025 registered under Sections 61(4) of the Haryana Amended Act, 2020 (The Punjab Excise Act, 1914) at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered against unknown persons and the petitioner has been wrongly involved in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner was neither present at the place of occurrence nor he was owner of the cars, which were used in the crime nor he had any connection with the recovery of liquor from the spot. Learned counsel next submits that the petitioner was involved in one more case under the provisions of Gambling Act, but he is on bail in the said case.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 23.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C./482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.07.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

23.09.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No