



233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35384-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 23.07.2025

INDRAJ SUTHAR ALIAS INDRAJ

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Ms. Monika Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has, very fairly, submitted that the present petition has been mistakenly mentioned as the first bail petition. The said error occurred due to lack of instructions, as she is a legal aid counsel and the petitioner is in custody.

The explanation put forth by the learned counsel is accepted, and this aspect of the matter is closed.

Notion of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that all prosecution witnesses stand examined, and the case is now fixed for 24.07.2025 for defence evidence/arguments.



This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve into the rival contentions in detail so as to adjudicate upon the present petition, and the same is accordingly dismissed.

Keeping in view the entirety of facts, the trial Court is directed to make an earnest endeavour for expeditious disposal of the trial.

23.07.2025

Jatin

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No