

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CR-1338-2025****Date of Decision: 04.03.2025****OM PARKASH AND OTHERS**

. . . .PETITIONERS

Vs.

HARPAT SINGH

. . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.

Petitioners herein are defendants before the Id. Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Pataudi, District Gurugram in Civil Suit No.497 of 2024 titled 'Harpat Singh Vs. Om Prakash and others'. They are aggrieved by the order dated 22.01.2025 (*Annexure P-7*), whereby an application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC for amendment of the plaint, moved by the plaintiff-respondent, has been allowed by the trial Court.

2. Suit for permanent injunction was filed by the plaintiff (respondent herein). The case is at the initial stage. Plaintiff moved the application submitting that his grandmother Krishna @ Bulli being daughter of Krishan Sahai s/o Devi Ram s/o Kashi Ram s/o Thakarsi had inherited the suit property. However, inadvertently in the plaint, Krishna @ Bulli i.e. grandmother of the plaintiff has been reflected as daughter of Krishan Sahai s/o Thakarsi. It was submitted that inadvertently, name of Deva s/o Khashi Ram s/o Thakarsi could not be reflected in the plaint. The trial Court has allowed the amendment sought for.

3. It is conceded by Id. counsel for the petitioner that case is at initial stage, as even the issues have not been framed so far. The amendment has

been allowed only to correctly describe the status of the plaintiff in order to show that how his grandmother was related to Thakarsi.

4. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, when the case is at initial stage and even the issues have not been framed so far, this Court does not find any illegality or perversity in the impugned order. As such, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

04.03.2025

Vivek

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>