

PANKAJ YADAV

...Petitioner

Versus

MANI RAM SHARMA AND ANR

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 26.05.2022 in CWP No.11542 of 2022 in case titled as Pankaj Yadav vs. State of Haryana and another.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.11542 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondents to look into representation dated 21.04.2022 filed by the petitioner and to pass an administrative order, in accordance with law within thirty days from the date of order.

[3] Learned DAG has produced copy of speaking order dated 05.09.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as is contained in the representation dated 21.04.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who on perusal thereof states that in view of rejection of the claim of the petitioner as contained in the representation dated 21.04.2022, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge

order dated 05.09.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

12.09.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>