



230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4477-2025

Date of Decision: 03.02.2025

Sukhraj Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.0041 dated 22.04.2024 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 326, 201 of IPC added later on), at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case has been registered after the delay of 2 days and the petitioner has been wrongly named by the complainant. He further contends that as per the prosecution version, the petitioner was carrying a datar with him, however, no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Learned counsel further placed reliance on the compromise deeds (Annexures P-3 and P-4) to contend that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 10.10.2024 and the challan has already been



presented against him. He further contends that the injured has already been discharged in the present case long ago and no purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, two similarly placed co-accused, namely, Baldev Singh alias Joban Singh alias Teedi and Gurlal Singh alias Lali alias Gainda have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court, vide orders (Annexure P-7 and P-8 respectively). Further, it is also apparent from the compromise deeds (Annexure P-3 and P-4), the matter has been settled between the parties. Thus, no purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

03.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No