



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M No.24569 of 2025

DATE OF DECISION : 29<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2025

Hardewinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. A. P. S. Deol Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Vishal Rattan Lamba, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate;  
Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate;  
Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate &  
Mr. Farhad Kohli, Advocate for the complainant.

\* \* \* \*

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.548 dated 11.08.2024 under Sections 109(1), 190, 191(2),191(3), 351(2), 61, 191(1), 132, 221, 121(1) and 249-B of BNS, 2023 and Section 215 of BNS added later on and Section 25/27/30/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

2. The facts, in brief, are that the present FIR was registered on the complaint of complainant Karan Singh. It was alleged that on 11.08.2024 at about 06:00/6:30 AM, he along with Dhyan Singh son of Dharam Singh, Gurdeep Singh son of Avtar Singh, Sahib Singh son of Jeet Singh, Kuldeep Singh son of Davender Singh, Sukhchain Singh son



of Balkar Singh, Prem Singh son of Mohan Singh, Karamjeet Singh son of Darshan Singh along with some other devotees, were pouring fertilizer to the paddy crop in the fields of Langar Gurudawara Bhaini Sahab, which is adjacent to the land of Dera Jiwan Nagar and after applying fertilizer they were having refreshment, then at about 7:30 AM, Gurdev Singh @ Kanda, Gyan Singh, Maha Singh, Savinder Singh @ Balla Barandiya, Surjit Singh, Gurdev Singh Nasoriya, Jagdev Singh Panch, Doctor Ranjeet Singh UP wala, Balkar Singh, Harvinder Singh @ Mitthu, Harpal Singh, Harpej Singh @ Peja driver, Karnail Singh, Harvinder Singh @ Hira Panch, Gurtej Singh @ Kaka, Kuldeep Singh, Jaspal Singh, Major Singh and other 20-25 unknown persons, came there. Gurdev Singh @ Kanda was having gun, Gyan Singh was having revolver, Jagdev Singh was having Rifle, Harvinder Singh @ Mitthu was having Rifle, Doctor Ranjit Singh was having Gun and other persons were carrying sword and Kappa etc. Then Gurdev Singh @ Kanda and Harvinder Singh @ Mitthu gave Lalkara that they will not let anyone go alive and at the same time everyone started firing at complainant and his companions with the respective weapons in their hands. Gurdev Singh @ Kanda fired a gun shot at complainant which hit on his mouth, the fire shot by Harvinder @ mitthu hit Dhyan Chand, Jagdev Singh gave a firearm injury from his Rifle to Sukhchain and all the said persons indiscriminately fired upon them, due to which, Gurdeep Singh, Harpal Singh, Karamjeet Singh and Sahab Singh sustained firearm injuries. During this incident, the police also reached at spot and all the above said persons fired gunshot upon the police vehicle as well. Thereafter, all the



accused persons kept firing gunshots with their weapons. On hearing the noise of gunshots, the passer-by started gathering at the spot and the aforesaid persons ran away from that place along with their respective weapons. Thereafter, Gurlal Singh son of Harpal Singh and Ranjeet Singh son of Amar Singh arranged a vehicle and got complainant and other injured persons admitted in Government Hospital Sirsa from where they were referred for further treatment. The complainant further stated that the above named accused persons wanted to occupy the land of Langar Gurudawara Bhiani Sahab and that is why they have fired gunshot upon the complainant and his companions with the intention to kill them. Request was made to take legal action against the culprits. On the basis of above complaint, the FIR was registered against the accused persons and investigation commenced. During investigation, the complicity of the petitioner surfaced and the petitioner was arrested on 22.08.2024. He approached the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, for grant of bail, however, after hearing both the sides, his bail application was declined by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa vide order dated 10.02.2025. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Narinder Singh and Gurtej Singh @ Kaka. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 02.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-55338-2024 & CRM-M-62578-2024, whereby, co-accused



Narinder Singh and Gurtej Singh @ Kaka have been granted regular bail by this Court. The petitioner is in custody since 22.08.2024. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the above said co-accused, who have already been granted bail. He further submits that neither the petitioner was named in the FIR nor any injury has been attributed to the petitioner. He submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and it is on account of a civil dispute which pertains to the land which belongs to the society, the present occurrence took place. He submits that the present case is of version and cross-version and which party was aggressor, would be assessed only after the weighing of the evidences by the trial Court. Thus, in the facts and circumstances, keeping in view the false implication of the petitioner he deserve to be granted bail.

4. Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Narinder Singh and Gurtej Singh @ Kaka. He submits that investigation is complete and challan has been presented.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 22.08.2024. Co-accused, namely, Narinder Singh and Gurtej Singh @ Kaka are on bail and the case of the petitioner as stated is at par with them. As submitted before this Court, the petitioner has suffered incarceration of more than one year. Investigation is complete and



challan has been presented.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

9. However, if the petitioner does not furnish the bail bonds within seven days from today, then his further custody period after one week will not be counted in this case.

29<sup>th</sup> September, 2025  
'raj'

( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )  
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>