



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

316

CRM-M-58867-2023

Date of decision: 21.01.2025

VISHAL AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Case has been called twice. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
2. A perusal of case file shows that this case is being adjourned since inception either on request of learned counsel for the petitioners or due to his non-appearance. The orders passed by this Court are being reproduced herein-under:

“On request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to 06.03.2024.

23.11.2023.”

“The petitioners in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking quashing of FIR No.20 dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 427, 452, 506 of the IPC pertaining to Police Station Nissing, District Karnal, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.11.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.



On the asking of Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1/State. Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate puts in appearance and files his memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.2. He undertakes to file his power of attorney in the Registry of this Court before the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent, at the outset, submits that it is a case of partial quashing on the basis of compromise. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that on account of conflicting decisions with respect to partial quashing of FIR, on the basis of compromise, a Coordinate Bench of this Court has referred the matter to a Division Bench in CRM-M No.48043 of 2023.

In the circumstances, adjourned to 16.07.2024.

March 6, 2024”

“On request of learned counsel for the petitioners, case is adjourned to 16.10.2024.

16.07.2024”

“On request of learned counsel for the petitioners, adjourned to 21.01.2025.

October 16, 2024”

Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 21, 2025
poonam

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No