



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34229-2025**

**Date of Decision:09.07.2025**

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**Coram :** **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

**Present :** Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.84 dated 08.09.2023 registered under Sections 21(c), 29, 27-A of NDPS Act and under Sections 379, 411, 489 of IPC, at Police Station Fatehgarh Churrian, District Gurdaspur. (offence under Section 27-A, 29 of NDPS Act and Section 489 IPC added later on).

2. As per case of the prosecution, on 08.09.2023, Ranjit Singh, petitioner and Sajan Masih were arrested by the police, while they were carrying 255 grams of heroin along with drug money of Rs.45,000/-.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. He further submits that no recovery has been made from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 08.09.2023 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Trial is likely to take long time and no useful purpose will be served by further detention of the petitioner in custody. In support of his

contentions, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon judgments in (i) CRM-M-37684-2021, Balwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 14.02.2022; (ii) CRM-M-8212-2022, Tajinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 03.03.2022 and (iii) CRM-M35186-2016, Manjit Kaur @ Jeeto vs. State of Punjab, decided on 01.12.2016. He further contends that co-accused Nand Kishore has already been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 25.01.2024 (Annexure P-4). Another, similarly placed co-accused Sajjan Masih has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-5).

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, custody period of the petitioner and also the fact that the quantity of alleged contraband is marginally above the 'commercial quantity',. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused namely Sajjan Masih and Nand Kishore have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

09.07.2025

*hitesh*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No