



260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39395-2025

Date of Decision:29.07.2025

Manpreet Singh alias Peeta

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0081 dated 29.12.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 110, 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Challan submitted under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023), at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had not participated in the commission of crime, still he has been named as an accused in the present case. As per him, it has been falsely alleged that the petitioner was carrying an iron gear and gave a blow with the same on the right knee of Pradeep Singh, injured. He further contends that the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 20.02.2025 and is in custody since then. He next contends that the injured has already been discharged in the present case and the matter has been compromised with the complainant. He next contends that co-accused,

namely, Lakhwinder Singh, Gurwinder Singh and Bhudhvir Singh alias Gudhu alias Yudhvir Singh have been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court vide orders (Annexures P-3 to P-6). Learned counsel further contends that a compromise deed (Annexure P-6) has been executed between the accused and the complainant side and the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. However, he admits that the other co-accused, namely, Manjit Singh @ Mitla, Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha, Jaskaran Singh @ Karan, Gurpiar Singh @ Raman, Gurwinder Singh and Sukhwinder Singh @ Indri have been granted the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, admittedly, the injury, which has been attributed to the petitioner, has been declared to be simple in nature. Even, the above mentioned similarly placed co-accused have been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court vide orders (Annexures P-3 to P-5).

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.07.2025

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No