



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4735-2025
Date of Decision : **20.02.2025**

ER. PANKAJ ARORA BUILDERS AND GOVT CONTRACTOR
.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. P.S.Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, because as per the Contract Act, 1872, a contract which is binding upon the parties concerned to the contract, there is an arbitration clause, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

2. Consequently, the instant petition is **disposed of**, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority/forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance by raising the arbitration clause mentioned in the contract.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2025
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No