



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

288

**CRM-M No.58013 of 2024
Date of decision: 08.04.2025**

SONU**.... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. Rahil Soi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Swati Batra, D.A.G., Punjab.
Mr. Shubham Pathania, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.112 dated 24.08.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 324(5) and 332(C) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short-'BNS') (Sections 351(1), 351(3) deleted and Section 333 of BNS added later on), at Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-3).
2. This Court vide order dated 21.11.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.
3. Thereafter vide order dated 25.02.2025, respondent No.3 was again directed to appear before the learned trial Court to record his statement as he did not appear before the trial Court to record his statement in compliance of order dated 21.11.2024.



4. Pursuant to the aforesaid orders, parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nabah and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted reports dated 12.12.2024 and 04.04.2025 respectively, to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.112 dated 24.08.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 324(5) and 332(C) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short-'BNS') (Sections 351(1), 351(3) deleted and Section 333 of BNS added later on), at Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) are quashed qua the petitioner.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

08.04.2025/ Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No