



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23611-2025**

**Date of decision: 02.05.2025**

**HARJINDER SINGH THROUGH SEEMA AND ANR.**

**....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. HPS Bunger, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....  
**SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)**

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the petition qua the petitioner No.1-Harjinder Singh.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage qua petitioner No.1-Harjinder Singh.

3. This is a petition filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 for directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner No.2 - Seema at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6 for not harassing the petitioner No.2.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner No.2, inter alia, contends that the petitioner No.2, feeling aggrieved by the act and conduct of private respondents No.4 to 6, had moved the representation dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali), but till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner No.1 will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No. 2 and 3 to consider and



dispose of the representation dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

5. Notice of motion to official respondents.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3 and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.

7. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner No.2-Seema and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and dispose of the representation dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

8. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner No.2-Seema.

9. It is made clear that this order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioner would be entitled for any protection against her arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings against them, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

10. Disposed of.

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

02.05.2025  
puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No