

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1694-2016

Date of Decision: August 11, 2016

YASMINE KAUR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

R.J. KHANDARAO

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitinkant Setia, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.**

Counsel for the petitioner points out that inadvertently the date which has been mentioned in the order dated 09.08.2016 i.e. 07.07.2016 is not correct. As a matter of fact the parents of the petitioner appeared before the respondent on 05.07.2016 and thereafter on 13.07.2016. He, however, states that he has received the photocopies of the answer sheets which indicate that some of the pages of the original answer sheets are torn to which counsel for the respondent states that those are blank pages and therefore would not have any effect as far as re-evaluation of the paper of the petitioner is concerned.

Be that as it may, as far as the present contempt petition is concerned the same has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such with liberty to the petitioner to avail of her remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE

August 11, 2016

*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No