



108-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-1188-2010 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.09.2025

Gurdial Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Ram Mehar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 09.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present:- Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.*

*The present appeal has been filed by the claimant.
Although the present file is a partly burnt file but a perusal of
the order dated 24.12.2010 would show that it had been stated
that the owner-Ram Mehar had died.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant-claimant prays for an
adjournment to get instructions in the matter and also to move
an application for impleadment of LRs.*

Adjourned to 24.09.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

09.09.2025”



2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the liability was also of the owner-Ram Mehar who has died and in spite of his best efforts, neither he has been able to get the details of the legal representatives of said Ram Mehar nor any one on his behalf has contacted him and has thus, prayed that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the case after impleading the LRs of the owner-Ram Mehar.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

24.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No