



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that no witness has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody for the last about 10 months. It is also an admitted fact that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rahul Bhudena, Tokkir @ Sahil and Bharat alias Bhalu have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

26.03.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No