



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

**CRWP-56103-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 14.10.2025**

Parveen Kumar @ Kali

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.58 dated 03.08.2022, registered under Sections 22(c), 27, 29/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

2. Briefly the case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was apprehended by the Police while being in possession of 11 bottles of Wincirex and 150 loose intoxicant tablets.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that as per the Challan, the 150 loose intoxicant tablets do not belong to any category of drugs provided under NDPS Act and that the case under the NDPS Act has been framed solely on the basis of recovery of 11 bottles of Wincirex. He contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.08.2022 and has already undergone more than 03 years 02 months of actual custody. He further submits that as against 13 witnesses, only 04 have



223 CRWP-56103-2025(O&M)

been examined.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and he contends that out of total 17 witnesses, 09 witnesses have been examined.

5. Be that as it may, taking into consideration the period of actual custody undergone by the petitioner which is more than 03 years and 02 months and bearing in mind the stage of trial when more than half of the witnesses are yet to be examined, I deem it appropriate to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is *allowed* and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

14.10.2025

Sumit Gusain

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE