

CRWP-8139-2025

**144 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8139-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 29.07.2025

HARMAN KAUR AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226/227 for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents not to harass the petitioners.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, learned State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, who produces the copy of speaking order, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. As per speaking order, the father of petitioner No.2 in his statement stated that petitioner No.2 had gone for some household work on 25.07.2025 and has not returned home yet. He however, assures the Court that necessary action will be taken on the representation dated 27.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of three days from today, in the light of notification No.HOMEHM-40



CRWP-8139-2025

40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch).

4. In light of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the instant petition any further.

5. The present petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

6. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file appeal, as is stipulated in the Standard Operating Procedure as per law, in case of any grievance left after passing of the speaking order.

29.07.2025

Sonia

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No