



226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4748-2025

Date of Decision:04.08.2025

Punita Devi alias Priya

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**Coram :** Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Jagraj Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.92 dated 18.02.2023 registered under Sections 20 of NDPS Act, (Section 27-A NDPS Act added later on), at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.
2. Allegations are that on the basis of a secret information, petitioner was apprehended by the police party and recovery of 2.8 Kgs. of charas was effected from her.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the said recovery of 2.8 Kgs. of *Charas* was planted on her. He further submits that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 18.02.2023 and has undergone about 02 years and 05 months of custody. He further contends that only 04 witnesses, out of total 21 witnesses have been examined by the prosecution so far and the trial is not

likely to conclude in near future. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of SLP No. 6690 of 2022, titled as “**Dheeraj Kumar Shukla Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh**”, in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

*“2. The allegations are that on a secret information, the police authorities intercepted two vehicles on 23.06.2020 i.e. one 'Gray' coloured 'Honda City' car and the second 'White' coloured ' Swift Dzire' car. On an interrogation at the spot, Praveen Maurya @ Puneet Maurya, Rishab Kumar Maurya and Dheeraj Kumar Shukla were found to be occupants of the 'Honda City' car whereas the petitioner was driving the 'Swift Dzire' car. On taking a search, more than 92 kgs. Ganja was allegedly recovered from 'Honda City' car where as more than 65 kgs. Ganja was recovered from 'Swift Dzire' Car. The accused were arrested at the spot. The petitioner is, thus, in custody since 24.06.2020.*

*3. It appears that some of the occupants of the 'Honda City' Car including Praveen Maurya @ Puneet Maurya have since been released on regular bail. It is true that the quantity recovered from the petitioner is commercial in nature and the provisions of Section 37 of the Act may ordinarily be attracted. However, in the absence of criminal antecedents and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last two and a half years, we are satisfied that the conditions of Section 37 of the Act can be dispensed with at this stage, more so when the trial is yet to commence though the charges have been framed.*

*4. For the reasons stated above but without expressing any views on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.”*

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner is commercial in nature and the rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act would apply to the facts of the present case. However, learned State counsel admits that there is no other criminal case under NDPS Act against the present petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested on 18.02.2023 and is in custody for the last about 02 years and 05 months. There is no other criminal case against her.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the above stated facts and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Dheeraj Kumar Shukla's case (Supra)**, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**04.08.2025**  
hemlata

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No