

2025:PHHC:127051-DB



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-23963 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.09.2025

Madan Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Armaan Cajla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Gurtej Singh, Jr. Standing Counsel
for respondents-Income Tax Department.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Challenge in the present petition is to notice dated 29.07.2022, issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short 'Act 1961'), approval dated 28.07.2022, under Section 151 of Act 1961, assessment order dated 19.05.2023, demand notice dated 19.05.2023, under Section 156 of Act 1961, for the Assessment Year 2013-2014 and all subsequent proceedings on the ground that Issuing Authority had no jurisdiction to issue the same, in view of circular/notification dated 29.03.2022 of the CBDT, wherein, it has been specifically enumerated that National Faceless Assessment Centre (NFAC) has exclusive power to issue notice under Section 148 of the Act, 1961.

2. It is pointed out that appeal filed by petitioner is still pending adjudication and it is due to inadvertent mistake that respondent No.3 is

mentioned as Income Tax Officer, Sunam, whereas it should be Income Tax Officer, Samana. Learned counsel for petitioner is permitted to make the necessary correction in the memo of parties, as prayed for under his signatures in Court itself.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner contends that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the cases of *Jatinder Singh Bhangu vs. Union of India and others*, passed in CWP No. 15745-2024 and connected matter, decided on 19.07.2024 and *Jasjit Singh vs. Union of India and others* (CWP No. 21509- 2023 and other connected matters), decided on 29.07.2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has preferred an appeal and the same is pending before the Appellate Authority.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent-Income Tax has also not disputed the same.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the file with their able assistance.

6. Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) and Jasjit Singh's case (supra), allowed the writ petitions on the same issue, as raised in the present writ petition, by granting liberty to the revenue to follow the procedure as laid down under the Act, 1961 and proceed accordingly, if so advised. Relevant portion of decision dated 19.07.2024 in Jatinder Singh Bhangu's case (supra) reads as under:-

“15. From the perusal of Section 151A, it is quite evident that scheme of faceless assessment is applicable from the stage of show cause notice under Section 148 as well as

148A. Clause 3(b) of notification dated 29.03.2022 issued under Section 151A clearly provides that scheme would be applicable to notice under Section 148. Even otherwise, it is a settled proposition of law that assessment proceedings commence from the stage of issuance of show cause notice. The object of introduction of faceless assessment would be defeated if show cause notice under Section 148 is issued by Jurisdictional Assessing Officer. The respondents are heavily placing reliance upon office memorandum and letter issued by departmental authorities. It is axiomatic in tax jurisprudence that circulars, instructions and letters issued by Board or any other authority cannot override statutory provisions. The circulars are binding upon authorities and Courts are not bound by circulars. The mandate of Section 144B, 151A readwith notification dated 29.03.2022 issued thereunder is quite lucid. There is no ambiguity in the language of statutory provisions, thus, office memorandum or any other instruction issued by Board or any other authority cannot be relied upon.”

7. As appeal in the present proceedings is pending before the Appellate Authority, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the same in terms of **Jatinder Singh Bhangu’s** case (supra), decided on 19.07.2024 and **Jasjit Singh’s** case (supra), decided on 29.07.2024.

8. All the pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

15.09.2025

s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No