



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-59353-2024
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

Sajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.0096 dated 07.10.2024 under Sections 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

2. On 13.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner was arraigned as an accused in the present case on a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Jaspreet Singh alias Jassi to the effect that the recovered arms and ammunition from him had been procured through the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, has not disputed that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused pursuant to a disclosure



CRM-M-59353-2024

statement allegedly suffered by the co-accused. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel as to whether any other case of identical nature stands lodged against the petitioner, he on instructions, has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 13.01.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 13.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

22.04.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No