



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-3354-2023 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2025

Kundan Singh and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to revise the pension of petitioners by granting the benefit of annual increment to them which fell due on the next day of their retirement.
2. Since reliance was placed on the judgment in **Gurdev Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-32598-2019, decided on 16.03.2022, against which LPA-922-2022 had been filed, the present petition was adjourned *sine die* with liberty to revive it after decision thereof, which now stands dismissed on 09.04.2024. The matter has also been generalized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Miscellaneous Application Diary No.2400 of 2024 in Civil Appeal No.3933 of 2023 (Union of India and another vs. M. Siddaraj).
3. In view of the above, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents shall consider and decide the claim of the petitioners taking note of the aforesaid submissions and judgment within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioners are found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to them and shall contain reasons, whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

**05.05.2025**

ashok

**( AMAN CHAUDHARY )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No