



**CRM-34214-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

106+203

**CRM-34214-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)  
Decided on: 29.08.2025**

Pardeep Kumar

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

PRESENT: Ms. Samridhi Sareen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Saumya Ahluwalia, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)****CRM-34214-2025**

The application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-2 to P-5 are taken on record.

**CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)**

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 483 of BNS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0416 dated 21.11.2024, under Sections 75(2), 351(3) of BNS and Sections 66d, 66e, 67 and 67a of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.
2. The contents of the aforesaid FIR are reproduced herein below:-

*“Sir, the brief facts of the case are as under, ASI Asha was present at Police Station Adampur when the complainant, xxxxx, daughter of Ranvir Singh, resident of Ghudsal, District Hisar, came to police station and got recorded her statement. The contents of the statement are as under: Statement of xxxxx, D/o Ranvir Singh, R/o Ghudsal, Tehsil Adampur, District Hisar, Age: 24 years,*



**CRM-34214-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)**

2

*Mobile No.: 951826xxxxx "I am a resident of the aforementioned address and have completed my B.A. A person has created multiple fake IDs including Soniasaharan2021, Renuka Jhanjra, Poojasonu706, and Muugli23, impersonating me and my friend xxxxx. Through these fake accounts, he is sending obscene photos and messages to me, my friend, and my family members. He is also uploading nude pictures by editing our photos. He is blackmailing and threatening me, and demanding that either I talk to him and meet him at a hotel, otherwise he will defame me by making my account accessible to public. immediate legal action be taken after searching the said person. He has been sending such messages since 27.10.2024.. Sd/-"*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR in the instant case was registered on the allegation that a person had created multiple fake social media accounts, impersonating the complainant and her friend, and uploading their objectionable photographs on the same. It is the submission that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, despite not having been named in the FIR. Further, there is delay of 25 days in registration of the FIR, which was lodged on 21.11.2024, whereas the first instance of the alleged incidence had occurred on 27.10.2024. It is submitted that no incriminating evidence has been found against the petitioner till date. There are also various contradictions in the statements made by the complainant. The petitioner, a young man of 26 years, has already undergone an actual custody of 08 months and 25 days. There is no other case registered against him.

4. *Per contra* learned State counsel has opposed the bail and submits that the petitioner was actively involved in the commission of the



**CRM-34214-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)**

3

alleged offence. She has filed custody certificate in Court today and the same is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 08 months and 25 days and there is no other case registered against him. She on instructions from investigating officer submits that charges were framed on 24.03.2025 and out of a total of 10 prosecution witnesses, only 06 have been examined till date. She, however, submits that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

5. Heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. From a perusal of the case in hand, it transpires that the petitioner is behind the bars since 04.12.2024. Investigation is complete. The final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was presented before the concerned Court and trial of the case has not made much progress, as charges were framed on 24.03.2025 and out of a total of 10 prosecution witnesses, only 06 have been examined till date. The material witnesses have already been examined. The culpability, if any, would be determined at the time of trial. No useful purpose shall be served by further detention of the accused/petitioner. Keeping the petitioner in further detention without the prospect of the trial being concluded in the near future, would be violative of his rights under Article 21 of the Constitution of India including the right to speedy trial, and is against the principle “Bail is a rule, jail is an exception” as elucidated in the judgment of Apex Court in **“Dataram Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and another”, (2018) 3 SCC 22.**

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned learned trial Court/Duty



**CRM-34214-2025 in/and  
CRM-M-22460-2025(O&M)**

4

Magistrate. The petitioner shall also abide by the following conditions:-

- (I) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (II) The petitioner will not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness(s).
- (III) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on the date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted.
- (IV) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which she is accused of, or for commission of which he is suspected.
- (V) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail before this Court.

9. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

10. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KIRTI SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**29.08.2025**

*Kapil*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*