



CR-3536-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(131)

CR-3536-2025

Date of decision: - 01.07.2025

Ahshan**...Petitioner****Versus****Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**********VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for directing the learned trial Court to decide the application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC filed in Civil Suit No.1642 of 2024 dated 11.12.2024 titled as "Ahshan Vs. HSVP and others", pending for 25.07.2025 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had filed the present suit on 11.12.2024 along with an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC read with Section 151 CPC. It is further submitted that the written statement was filed by defendants No.1 to 3 along with reply to the stay application on 29.01.2025. It is argued that the said stay application has not been decided and the case is

**CR-3536-2025****-2-**

now listed for hearing on 25.07.2025 for arguments on stay application. It is further argued that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to decide the said application for stay as expeditiously as possible. It is submitted that the petitioner and his counsel would be ready to argue the matter on the next date of the hearing or any other date given by the trial Court and would fully assist the trial Court in expeditious disposal of the stay application.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents No.1 to 3, on the other hand, has submitted that the present direction should not be construed as opinion on the merits of the case and the stay application be decided after hearing the respondents No.1 to 3 as well and has further submitted that even the counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 would fully assist the trial Court in expeditious disposal of the stay application.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed with a request to the trial Court to decide the stay application as expeditiously as possible.

5. All the counsel concerned before the trial Court are requested to be fully prepared to argue the application for stay on the next date of the hearing or any other date which the trial Court may direct.

July 01, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No