



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

263

FAO-1526-2020 (O & M)  
Date of Decision:03.04.2025

BHUPINDER SINGH

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

SALOCHNA DEVI AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Mehak Bedi, Advocate for  
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate &  
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 18.03.2025, this Court passed the following order:-

*“Ms. Vaishali Thakur, Advocate for Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant and has filed vakalatnama on his behalf, which is taken on record.*

*Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of all the respondents and has filed memo of appearance on their behalf, which is taken on record.*

*Service is complete.*

*On 28.02.2020, this Court passed the following order:*

*“Inter alia contends that the amount on two counts, i.e. Loss of Consortium as well as Loss of*



FAO-1526-2020 (O &amp; M)

-2-

*Filial consortium is wrongly awarded by the learned Tribunal, rather, under both these heads, it should be only Rs.40,000/-; thus, the amount of Rs.3.20 lakhs is not permissible. Also contends that the remaining of Rs.5,18,056/- shall be paid to the claimants within four weeks from today.*

*Notice of motion for 30.04.2020.*

*Let the Demand Draft of Rs.5,18,056/- in favour of the claimants be brought before this Court on the date fixed.”*

*Counsel appearing for the appellant requests for an adjournment to comply with the directions passed by this Court. On her request, adjourned to 03.04.2025.”*

2. Despite a lapse of more than five years, interim order passed by this Court has not been complied with. Counsel for the appellant states that she is not in contact with the appellant and has no instructions from him. It seems that the appellant is not interested in complying with the order passed by this Court or in pursuing the appeal.

3. Dismissed for default in prosecution.

4. Pending applications are disposed off.

03.04.2025  
*sheetal*

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No