

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC:040149



330

CRM-M-57064-2024

Date of decision: March 24th, 2025

Gagandeep Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.13 dated 22.01.2021 under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 66-B of IT Act added later on) registered at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise affidavit (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 20.11.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 25.11.2024 and on 23.01.2025 due to non compliance of the order dated



20.11.2024, on request of learned counsel for the petitioners, again the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 24.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms



of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 24th, 2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

mamta

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No