



**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30647-2025**  
Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

Meena @ Veena

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 03.08.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII, Jalandhar in a case FIR No.74 dated 07.06.2022 under Section 22(b) of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NPDS Act added lateron), at Police Station Goraya, Jalandhar, vide which bail of the petitioner was cancelled, her bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited and non-bailable warrants were issued against the petitioner.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that during the trial in the present FIR, the petitioner was falsely implicated in another FIR No.94/2024 under Section 21(a) of NDPS Act and in the process of getting interim bail in the same, she could not appear in the present FIR, due to which her bail was cancelled and her bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and she was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants vide order dated 03.08.2024. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond her control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear



before the trial Court and abide by all the terms and conditions, if any imposed by this Court.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that learned trial Court has rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner, as she intentionally did not appear before it, on the date fixed.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner on 03.08.2024, her bail was cancelled and her bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and she was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants. The reason for non-appearance before the Court on the date fixed, as given by the petitioner is, her involvement in other case. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear the Court concerned to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the order dated 03.08.2024 is set aside subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be paid to **Day Care Centre for Elderly Disabled in home for Old & Destitute People, Sector-15, Chandigarh** by the petitioner within period of seven days from today.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from today and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs and the trial Court would grant her bail



till the disposal of the case on her furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from today. The trial Court is free to impose any condition on the petitioner while admitting her to bail.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to her and the order dated 03.08.2024 will come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

8. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**29.05.2025**

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No