



212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60223-2024
DECIDED ON: 28.04.2025

MANIK SACHDEVA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Sheenam Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG Punjab

Ms. Ritu Punj, Advocate and
Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0053, dated 01.05.2024, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of IPC, 1860 Sections 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Lalru.

This Court vide order dated 02.12.2024 granted the interim bail to the petitioner subject to the petitioner's joining the investigation, wherein other conditions were also imposed upon the petitioner.

'When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

- (i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;
- (iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'

Thereafter on 17.12.2024, both the parties made a joint request for sending the matter to the Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court showing inclination of settling the dispute amicably.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Akash Sharma informed the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation in compliance to the directions of this Court vide order dated 02.12.2024 and further submits that the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the mediation proceedings could not succeed and the matter has been listed before this Court after failure thereof, the report of which has been placed before this Court.

In the light of the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and not required for further custodial interrogation, the order dated 02.12.2024 is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

28.04.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No