



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-A-1110-MA-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.10.2025

Manohar Lal

...Applicant

VERSUS

Surender Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Sachin Kamboj, Advocate for

Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'CR.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 15.05.2015 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kosli, stemming out from complaint dated 04.05.2013/01.07.2013 filed under Sections 323/506/447/120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. After assessing all the material available on the record, the learned trial Court acquitted the respondent(s) vide judgment dated 15.05.2015.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s. Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, the applicant would have a remedy before the Court of Sessions.

4. In view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in



Celestium Financial (supra), the present application seeking leave to appeal is **remanded** to the learned Sessions Judge, Rewari with a direction to treat the same as an appeal filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust the same to appropriate Court for its disposal on merits.

5. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Rewari forthwith.

6. Disposed of accordingly. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.10.2025

Sumit Gusain

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No