



CWP-3814-1988 (O&M)

-1-

133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3814-1988 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.09.2025**

Partap Kaur (Deceased) Through LRs' and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

None for the private respondents.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

CWP-3814-1988 (O&M)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the order dated 19.07.1980 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Sub Divisional Officer (c) & prescribed Authority, Sirsa; order dated 07.06.1985 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Collector, Sirsa; order dated 19.03.1987 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Commissioner (Appeals), Hisar Division, Hisar; and order dated 10.11.1987 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Financial Commissioner, Haryana.

2. The matter pertains to the year 1988. A perusal of order sheets would reveal that on the earlier occasion, the matter was dismissed for

**CWP-3814-1988 (O&M)****-2-**

non-prosecution vide order dated 21.05.2014 and subsequently it was restored on 10.10.2014 by observing as under:-

“The case of the year 1988 came to be dismissed for default and an application for restoration is brought by another counsel. It is unfortunate that a case which was more than 2 ½ decades old is allowed to be drifted in this fashion and for parties to arrive at their own convenient time to seek for rehearing. I find no justification for a fresh hearing except that the matter relates to the right in the property under the Land Reforms Act and if the case must be heard, it shall be on terms, I direct the petitioner to pay ₹12,500/-, half of which I had already directed as security for costs to the State. The excess of what has been ordered now is permitted to be withdrawn by the petitioner. The application is allowed and the writ petition is directed to be posted before the court as per roster.”

3. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant matter any further.

4. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

6. Copy(ies) of this order be sent to the petitioners at the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

19.09.2025
Pd

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No