



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

COCP-3173-2023

Date of Decision: 24.09.2025

Randhir Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Dr. Rippudaman Singh Dhillon

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents have failed to comply with the order dated 28.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Writ Court in CWP-28382-2013, titled as 'Randhir Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others', wherein it was directed as under:-

“[5] In the light of statement of counsel for the parties and the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to grant consequential benefits as admissible to the petitioners as per their entitlement on reconsideration of their claim within the aforementioned period of time.”

It is submitted that despite the clear direction of the Hon'ble Writ Court, dues of the petitioners have not been released to them.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent vehemently opposes the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and refers para No. 5 of the reply dated 20.08.2024, filed by way of affidavit of Dr. R.S. Dhillon, to submit that upon examination, it was found that in compliance of the



order of the Writ Court, petitioner No. 1-Randhir Singh, Retd. Head Master, GHS Kalanaur, Yamuna Nagar, was granted 3rd ACP vide order dated 03.04.2024 w.e.f. 01.01.2015 and pay of the petitioner No. 1 was re-fixed from ₹95,800/- to ₹96,600/- by the District Education Officer, Yamuna Nagar. It was further found that due to re-fixation of pay vide order dated 08.04.2024 (Annexure R-2), recovery of an amount of ₹1,12,571/- was due to be recovered from petitioner No. 1 and total arrears likely to be paid to the petitioner are ₹1,00,770/-, therefore, the net recovery of an amount of ₹11,801/- was due towards petitioner No. 1. It is further submitted that a letter dated 08.05.2024 (Annexure R-3) was issued to petitioner No. 1 asking him to deposit the said amount in the Government Treasury to enable the respondent to take further action regarding payment of arrears to petitioner No. 1. However, it was pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent that as per letter dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-4) issued by the Finance Department, Haryana, in order to release the arrears to petitioner No. 1, an undertaking or writing was mandatory to be taken from him. However, petitioner No. 1 had refused to give the said undertaking.

3. As regards petitioner No. 2-Ashok Kumar, it is submitted that total arrears payable to petitioner No. 2 is ₹5,48,775/-. However, petitioner No. 2 has failed to give the undertaking which is mandatory as per letter dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure R-4), therefore, the said arrears cannot be released to petitioner No. 2.

4. Further, it is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent that petitioner No. 3-Balraj Singh, had fraudulently got his pay



fixed @ ₹96,600/- by giving wrong date of date of promotion and an enquiry is pending against petitioner No. 3.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent which remain uncontroverted, as the petitioners have not filed any replication to the said reply, no further direction is required to be passed in the present petition; and the same is hereby **disposed of**.

7. Rule stands discharged.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

24.09.2025

rishu

(**NIDHI GUPTA**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/No**