



246

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26123-2025

Date of Decision:22.07.2025

SALMAN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Ms. Gaganbir Kaur Kahlon, Advocate for  
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.06 dated 18.01.2025, registered under Sections 309(4), 111(4), 317(4) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled by the complainant in the present case are highly improbable and unbelievable. Even the FIR was registered against unknown persons and during the course of investigation also, no admissible evidence could be collected against him. Learned counsel next contends that on 22.06.2022, the complainant got recorded another statement, in which it was alleged that the accused were addressing each other as Allu and Monu. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 22.01.2025 and is in custody for the last more



than 06 months. After completion of investigation, the challan has been presented against him. However, no witness has been examined so far. Thus, there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that there is no other case against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, it was alleged that some unknown persons had committed the crime, however neither any accused was named nor any physical description of any of the accused was mentioned. The prosecution is yet to lead evidence before the trial Court with regard to the involvement of the petitioner in the crime. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 06 months and the challan has already been presented against him. Further, the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness so far. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

22.07.2025

*vipin*

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No