



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7892-2025

Date of decision: 11.03.2025

NIRVAIR SINGH AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Vishwjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 24.10.2024 and 16.01.2025 (Annexure P-2 and Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
52	19.05.2023	324, 506, 34 of IPC and Section 326 of IPC added later on.	Fatehgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 24.10.2024 and 16.01.2025 (Annexure P-2 and Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned



CRM-M-7892-2025

2

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fatehgarh Sahib, vide report dated 04.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 20,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 13.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fatehgarh Sahib, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 52 dated 19.05.2023 under Sections 324, 506, 34 of IPC and Section 326 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Sahib, District Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

11.03.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |