



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRA-S-520-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.03.2025**

AMARJEET AND ANR **...PETITIONERS**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR **...RESPONDENT**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Deepender Pratap Singh, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sitanshu Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-6290-2025

This is an application seeking condonation of delay of 108 days in filing the main appeal.

2. Heard.

3. Keeping in view the averments made in the application, delay of 108 days in filing the appeal is condoned subject to just exceptions.

4. Application stands disposed of.

MAIN CASE

The instant appeal has been preferred by the appellants against the impugned order dated 31.08.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby the application for grant of anticipatory bail to the appellants in criminal complaint No.98 dated 04.02.2016 registered vide Sessions Case No.SC-467-2022 has been dismissed.

2. Heard.



CRA-S-520-2025 (O&M)

2

3. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance to the order passed by this Court on 07.03.2025, the petitioner has appeared before the learned trial Court and furnished the requisite bail bonds. In support of his contention, he has placed on record copy of order dated 13.03.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, in this regard.

4. This aspect is not disputed by learned State counsel.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellants and prays for dismissal of the appeal.

6. During the course of hearing on 07.03.2025 following order was passed: -

“2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the applicants-appellants that appellants had been granted interim bail and were directed to surrender before the learned Trial Court on 05.03.2025 vide order dated 27.02.2025 passed by this Court. He contends that due to some unavoidable circumstances, the appellants could not surrender before the learned Trial Court. Hence, the instant application.

3. After hearing the respective submissions and in the interest of justice, appellants are again directed to appear and surrender before the learned Trial Court/Judge on duty/Duty Magistrate on or before 18.03.2025 and in that event, learned Trial Court/Judge on duty/Duty Magistrate will admit the appellants on interim bail subject to its satisfaction on their furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds.”

**CRA-S-520-2025 (O&M)**

3

7. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have already appeared before the learned trial Court and furnished his requisite bail/surety bonds consequent to the order dated 07.03.2025, passed by this Court, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 31.08.2024 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, stands quashed and the interim bail granted vide order dated 07.03.2025 is hereby confirmed.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.03.2025

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |