



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

253

CRM-2745-2024 in/&
CRM-M-29271-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.09.2025

Avtar Singh and Others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep, Advocate for
Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Parmod Kumar, AAG Haryana

Mr. Sagar Bathla, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-2745-2024

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. The main case is ordered to be restored to its original number and taken on board today itself.

CRM-M-29271-2021 (O&M)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for calling the status report in complaint dated 09.04.2021 and 28.04.2021 disclosing the commission of cognizable offences and despite recording the statement of petitioner and witnesses by the competent officer of the Police Station Cheeka, Kaithal.

2. Learned State counsel refers to para Nos.7 and 8 of the reply dated 25.08.2021 filed by DSP Guhla, District Kaithal, which read thus:

“7. That on 09.04.2021 petitioner-accused Avtar Singh

gave a complaint with MLRs of Gurmukh Singh MLR No.DG/55/21 and Rakesh MLR No.DG/55/21. The investigation was conducted by ASI Suresh Kumar. The place of occurrence was inspected on 10.04.2021 and DDR No. 28 dated 10-04-2021 was recorded in the roznamcha. On 19-04-2021, an application for obtaining X-ray report of Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh was given to CHC Guhla on which doctor reported (Annexure-R-1) that aforesaid Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh did not get their X-rays conducted in CHC Guhla. On 20-04-2021, an application for obtaining CT Scan report of Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh was moved by police on which also doctor reported (Annexure-R-2) that as per record no CT Scan was conducted on aforesaid MLRs. On 18-06-2021, an application for obtaining opinion regarding the nature of injuries suffered by Gurmukh and Rakesh was filed on which doctor reported that possibility of self-infliction of injuries or by friendly hand cannot be ruled out. In the investigation the injuries suffered by victim Gurmukh and Rakesh were found simple and as such offence under Section 323 I.P.C was made out which is non-cognizable one. Accordingly, DDR No. 28 dated 10-04-2021 was endorsed in the roznamcha Annexure-R-3. The investigation of the case is still continue.

8. That it is pertinent to mention here that accused Gurmukh was arrested on 25-05-2021 and petitioner/accused Avtar Singh was arrested on 26-04-2021. Rakesh is avoiding his arrest and did not come forward even despite number of notices u/s 41 Cr.P.C. Now the petitioner Avtar Singh and accused Gurmukh Singh are on bail. The alledged Complaint made by petitioner is false and misleading the investigating agency as well as court, otherwise othing substance mentioned in the complaint was found false during investigation. It is further pertinent to mention here that, regarding throwing Chilly powder in the eyes, no Xray has been found to be got conducted by injured Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh nor there is any Eye Surgeon report in this regard on medical record neither in CHC Guhla or GH Kaithal or even in doctor opinion, the Medical Officer did not utter a single word about any eye injury upon Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh. The alledged injuries sustained by them are blunt and may be sustained injury fighting with injured of F.I.R No. 93 dated 09-04-2021 Opinion of doctor dated 18-06-2021 is annexure-R-5/T. Present petition is totally false, Vague and baseless, therefore, deserve to be dismissed.

In fact, the petitioners-accused have filed the present petition only to create pressure upon the investigation agency and in counterblast of above F.I.R No. 93 dated 09-04-2021 in which all of three i.e. Avatar Singh (petitioner) and allegedly injured Gurmukh Singh and Rakesh are accused persons. However, property and effective investigation is being conducted in this case on the basis of material and trustworthy

evidence available with the investigating agency.”

3. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.
4. Disposed of accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

30.09.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No