



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

(1)	CWP-9361-2019 Date of Decision: 11.09.2025
Babu Lal and othersPetitioners
Versus	
Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

(2)	CWP-9362-2019
Harbans Singh and othersPetitioners
Versus	
Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

(3)	CWP-9683-2019
Rulda Singh and othersPetitioners
Versus	
Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma Advocate and
Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWPs No.9361 and 9362 of 2019).



Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP-9683-2019).

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate and
Ms. Chetna Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (in CWP-9683-2019).

Mr. Lajwant Singh Virk, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4 (in CWP-9361-2019).

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the claim of the petitioners for removal of the pay anomaly has been dismissed by the Tribunal vide impugned order dated 10.01.2019 (Annexure P-9) rather than asking the petitioners to file separate petitions qua their own grievance by giving due facts qua their claim. Learned counsel for the appellants further submits that if the permission is given to the petitioners even as of today to file separate petitions raising the due claim based upon the facts and the law, the petitioners will be happy/satisfied.

2. Learned counsels for the respondents raise no objection in case the petitioners are giving liberty to approach the Tribunal separately cadre wise giving due facts and law so that the same could be considered by the Tribunal as to whether the petitioners are entitled for the relief claimed or not.

3. Keeping in view of the above, the present petitions are disposed of with the observation that in case fresh Original Applications are filed by the petitioners separately giving the details cadre wise, the same will be looked into and decided by the Tribunal keeping in view the facts brought on record and the law on the issue concerned.



-: 3 :-

4. All the petitions stand disposed of accordingly.
5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.
6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 11, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No