



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28999-2025

Date of Decision:26.09.2025

Anubhav Chhabra and Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Parvesh Kumar Saini, Advocate with
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant the statutory pay scales to the petitioners as mandated in the 2018 rules viz. 10300-34800+ Grade Pay Rs.4200/- from entry into service along with arrears and interest at 12% thereon and to release the benefit to them by following the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023 (O&M), titled as **“Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Others.” (Annexure P-11).** Further prayer has been made to issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the instructions dated 21.10.2020 and 17.07.2020, Annexures P-5 and P-6, respectively, to quash the impugned order dated 31.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) and to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus/Certiorari holding that instructions dated 17.07.2020, Annexure P-6, have no application in the recruitment of the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the ratio of the law laid down by this Court in

CWP-15896-2023 (O&M), titled as “Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Others.” dated 13.09.2024(Annexure P-11)which has been upheld by the Division Bench of this Court as well as by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. (Annexures P-22 & P-23).

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-21) to respondent No.2 and he shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent No.4 to decide the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-21) in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct the respondent No.4 to decide the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-21) in view of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023, titled as “Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Others.” dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-11) which has been upheld by the Division Bench of this Court as well as by the Hon’ble Supreme Court (Annexures P-22 & P-23) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that the respondent No.4 shall pass a speaking

and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment and relevant rules/instructions and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to relief claimed in the representation, consequential benefits may also be released to the them without any further delay, thereafter, along with interest.

9. Disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

26.09.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No