



105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3561-2025

Date of decision: 02.09.2025

Rashmi Kakkar

...Petitioner

Versus

S. Narayanan

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing)

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Contempt Petition filed under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for not complying with the order dated 27.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-15211-2022 and order dated 05.08.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Education Tribunal, Gurgaon in Civil Appeal No.439 of 2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the speaking order dated 18.01.2025 has been passed by the Director Higher Education, Haryana, Panchkula thus, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 18.01.2025 in accordance with law.

3. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the order dated 18.01.2025 and in case any such challenge is made by the petitioner to the said order, it would be open to all the parties concerned to raise all the pleas which are admissible in law.

02.09.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No